

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/767,441	Applicant(s) LAWRENCE ET AL.	
	Examiner N. M. Minnifield	Art Unit 1645	

All Participants:

(1) N. M. Minnifield.

(2) James Heintz, 41828.

Status of Application: 080 After Final

(3) Daryl A. Basham, 45869.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior art rejections

Claims discussed:

generally all

Prior art documents discussed:

2006/0078901 and Glaser et al 2001; both of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 N. M. MINNIFIELD
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested approval to amend claim 1 as set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment to place application and claims in condition for allowance. Claim 1 amended to recite closed claim language to distinguish over the prior art. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-14 and 40 are allowed and renumbered 1-13 respectively..